IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

AUGUSTA DIVISION

)	
)	CR 115-104
)	
))))

ORDER

v. Denno, 378 U.S. 368 (1964) and 18 U.S.C. § 3501 "on the question of the voluntariness of any statement Mr. Anderson may have made to any law enforcement officer during the investigation of this case." (Doc. no. 42.) In contravention of Local Criminal Rule 12.1, there are no citations to record evidence or an affidavit from Defendant offered in support of this one-paragraph motion, and there is no supporting memorandum of law citing supporting authorities. (See id.) The Court will provide Defendant until January 25, 2016, to file a particularized motion that, in compliance with Local Criminal Rule 12.1, supports every factual assertion with a cite to the existing record, an affidavit, or other evidence and includes a supporting memorandum of law. The burden is upon Defendant to allege facts that would, if proven true, entitle him to relief. United States v. Lewis, 40 F.3d 1325, 1332 (1st Cir. 1994). Failure to cure the defects with the pending motion will result in the Court treating that motion as a nullity.

Defendant also filed a motion to adopt the motions of his co-defendant. The Court **GRANTS** this motion. (Doc. no. 43.) Defense counsel is instructed to file written notice

with the Court of the specific motions that Defendant intends to adopt. Such written notice must be filed not later than January 25, 2016. If any of the motions adopted require evidentiary support and/or a supporting memorandum particularized to the circumstances of Defendant, that supporting documentation must be filed along with the written notice of adoption. Counsel is specifically directed to Local Criminal Rule 12.1.

SO ORDERED this 19th day of January, 2016, at Augusta, Georgia.

BRIAN K. EPPS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA